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In Brief
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SUMMARY

The thirteen nuclear cleavages that give rise to the Drosophila blastoderm are some of the fastest known cell cycles [1]. Surprisingly, the fertilized egg is provided with at most one-third of the dNTPs needed to complete the thirteen rounds of DNA replication [2]. The rest must be synthesized by the embryo, concurrent with cleavage divisions. What is the reason for the limited supply of DNA building blocks? We propose that frugal control of dNTP synthesis contributes to the well-characterized deceleration of the cleavage cycles and is needed for robust accumulation of zygotic gene products. In support of this model, we demonstrate that when the levels of dNTPs are abnormally high, nuclear cleavages fail to sufficiently decelerate, the levels of zygotic transcription are dramatically reduced, and the embryo catastrophically fails early in gastrulation. Our work reveals a direct connection between metabolism, the cell cycle, and zygotic transcription.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The developing organism starts to gain its independence from maternal controls from the very first steps of embryogenesis. Of these steps, the most extensively studied is the so-called maternal-to-zygotic transition (MZT), when maternal mRNAs are degraded and zygotic gene expression is initiated on the genome scale [3]. An even earlier step toward independence occurs during the cleavage divisions leading to the MZT. This step is associated with a shift from using maternally deposited to newly synthesized deoxyribonucleotides (dNTPs), the building blocks for DNA polymerization [4]. Measurements of dNTP concentrations in fruit fly, frog, and sea urchin embryos reveal that maternal stores of dNTPs are sufficient for making only a fraction of the genomes that must be present at MZT, which implies that these embryos must synthesize dNTPs concurrent with DNA polymerization [2, 5, 6]. The rate-limiting reaction in this process is catalyzed by ribonucleotide reductase (RNR), a highly conserved enzyme that is subject to multiple levels of allosteric regulation, including inhibition by dATP, one of the four end products of the dNTP synthesis pathway [7]. The essential role of de novo dNTP synthesis in early embryogenesis is demonstrated by the fact that the pre-MZT cleavages are arrested by injection of hydroxyurea, a chemical that interferes with the generation of tyrosine free radicals during the reduction of ribonucleotides by RNR [2].

Dynamic control of dNTP synthesis is especially impressive in Drosophila, where thirteen rounds of DNA replication during the pre-MZT cleavages generate ~6,000 nuclei in only 2 h [1]. The Drosophila egg is maternally supplied with one-third of the dNTPs needed to form these nuclei; the rest of the dNTPs are synthesized de novo, controlled by maternally deposited RNR [2]. Initially, RNR is allosterically inhibited by high levels of dATP, but as these levels are lowered by the first rounds of DNA replication, the inhibition is lifted and the enzyme begins to work, supplying the embryo with newly synthesized dNTPs [2]. Consistent with time-resolved measurements of dNTP concentrations in early embryos, this model of dNTP regulation predicts that the levels of all four dNTPs become limiting during the last three rounds of DNA replication prior to morphogenesis [2]. The model also readily explains why cleavages are halted when embryos are injected with either hydroxyurea or dATP. In both cases, the embryo runs out of dNTPs and fails to synthesize the genomes needed for proceeding to the next stage of development.

Interestingly, abnormally high dNTP concentrations caused by expression of feedback-insensitive RNR also result in high embryonic lethality (Figures 1A and 1B) [2]. This suggests that the limited supply of dNTPs during the cleavage cycles is essential for normal embryogenesis. What is the reason for limiting the rate of dNTP synthesis, especially when the cleavage cycles are so fast? To address this question, we used live imaging to examine the early stages of development in embryos with abnormally high levels of dNTPs. We established that these embryos go through the correct number of cleavages without significant nuclear fallout (Figure S1A). This indicates that high dNTP concentrations do not cause significant levels of DNA damage, at least at this stage of embryogenesis [8]. Indeed, examination of cell-death reporters did not reveal any signs of aberrant cell death until much later stages of development.
Myosin and midline formation, which is twisted in the embryo with high dNTP concentrations (F and H). Expressed Sqh::mCherry to visualize myosin and midline formation, which is twisted in the embryo with high dNTP concentrations (F and H).

Thus, embryos with high levels of dNTPs are cellularized and patterned normally, and succeed in completing mesoderm invagination. Yet, all of these embryos fail at the stage of germ-band extension. During germ-band extension in wild-type embryos, the ventral midline, which is formed by mesoderm invagination, maintains its position at the midsagittal plane (Figures 1E and 1G; Video S1B). In contrast, the ventral midline in embryos with high levels of dNTPs veers off course (Figures 1F and 1H; Video S1C). This deviation from the normal trajectory disrupts future steps of morphogenesis. Most critically, the extended germ band cannot retract, which explains why embryos developing with high levels of dNTPs acquire a characteristic twisted shape (Figure 1B).

Figure 1. Constitutively High dNTP Concentrations Cause Morphogenetic Defects
(A and B) Cuticle preparations of a wild-type embryo (A) and an embryo with high dNTP concentrations (B).
(C and D) Cellular blastoderm-staged embryos stained with anti-Twist antibody (green). Both wild-type embryos (C) and those with high dNTP concentrations (D) show the normal expression pattern.
(E–H) Dorsal and ventral views of a wild-type embryo (E and G) and an embryo with high dNTP concentrations (F and H) expressing Sqh::mCherry to visualize myosin and midline formation, which is twisted in the embryo with high dNTP concentrations (F and H).

 novelist hypothesized that morphogenetic defects can arise as a consequence of the quantitative changes in the transcription of zygotic genes within their normal expression domains. To test whether increased dNTP concentrations can lead to quantitative changes in zygotic transcript accumulation, we quantified MS2 reporter activity during the last three nuclear cycles for three of the early transcribed genes, sog, sna, and bnk [12, 13]. These genes are also essential for proper morphogenesis [9–11]. In these experiments, we took advantage of the MS2-MCP reporter system, which inserts 24 repeats of the MS2 sequence, that upon transcription forms a hairpin secondary structure that is capped by the MCP::GFP protein [14]. In this way, transcriptional activity can be seen as the fluorescence intensity over time. We segmented and tracked the MS2-MCP::GFP signal in individual nuclei during the interphases of the cleavage cycles (Figure 2A; Videos S2A and S2B). We defined the total transcriptional output for each nucleus during the corresponding interphase by calculating the area under the curve for the time course of fluorescence intensity (Figures 2B and 2C, left; Figures S2A and S2C). By tracking multiple nuclei, we obtained the empirical distribution functions for the transcriptional output for each of the three genes and each of the analyzed cell cycles (Figures 2B and 2C, right). The medians of these distributions from multiple embryos allowed us to compare the transcriptional outputs between wild-type embryos and embryos developing at high concentrations of dNTPs. For all three genes, we observed a significant reduction in transcriptional output during nuclear cycles (NCs) 12 and 13 (Figure 2D).

What can cause the observed reduction in transcriptional output? Earlier studies suggested that the accumulation of zygotic gene products in Drosophila requires progressive lengthening of the interphases during the nuclear cleavage divisions, to give sufficient time for transcript initiation and elongation [15–17]. Furthermore, studies in Xenopus that tracked dNTP levels at the midblastula transition (MBT) suggest that availability of dNTPs tunes the cell cycle [6]. Additionally, studies in bacteria and yeast established that increased dNTP pools can accelerate progression through S phase. We thus hypothesized that a similar mechanism might affect the duration of interphases during the cleavage divisions and, consequently, lead to the overall reduction of accumulated zygotic transcripts [18, 19]. Consistent with this idea, we found that when the overall transcriptional output was divided by the period of active transcription, the outputs of embryos with high dNTP concentrations became statistically indistinguishable from control embryos (Figures S2D and S2F). This result indicates that the difference in S phase length, as opposed to general effects on transcriptional activity, accounts for the difference in total transcriptional output.

To evaluate our hypothesis more directly, we quantified the amount of time spent in a given phase of the nuclear cycles in wild-type embryos and in embryos with high dNTP concentrations (see Division Phase Labeling via Dynamic Time Warping in the STAR Methods). Our analysis revealed a clear reduction in the total cell-cycle times for nuclear cycles 12 and 13 (Figures 3A–3C). Moreover, we established that almost all of the difference in total cell-cycle time can be accounted for by a shorter interphase (Figures 3D–3F). The durations of the other phases of the cell cycle remained unchanged at high levels of...
dNTPs (Figures S3A–S3C). These results are consistent with the observed effects on the overall transcriptional output, and suggest that high levels of dNTPs can prevent the prolongation of S phase in the pre-gastrulation Drosophila embryo. Furthermore, we propose that this effect gives rise to the quantitative reduction of zygotic gene expression, and may explain why the last nuclear cleavages proceed at limiting concentrations of dNTPs.

The S phase length is controlled by both origin usage and fork progression rate. Recent work has shown that the rapid nuclear cycles of the pre-MBT embryo defer the formation of heterochromatin and late-replicating regions [20], suggesting that high dNTP concentrations may affect origin usage. Using live imaging of embryos expressing a GFP-tagged form of the proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA::GFP), which marks active replication forks, we did not observe a difference in origin usage, as indicated by the presence of late-replicating regions, which form bright punctae at the end of S phase (Videos S2C and S2D; Figures S3D and S3E) [20, 21]. This suggests that the overall order of replication is maintained and that fork progression speed is faster. Additionally, the fact that the shortened interphases of cycles 12 and 13 are not as short as the earlier cycles demonstrates that dNTPs are clearly not the only regulators of the cleavage dynamics. Indeed, regulation of Cyclin B and histone levels, the physical hindrance of replication by DNA-bound proteins, and replication stress are additional inputs into the speed and progression of nuclear cycles during the MZT [15, 22–26].

Although we have shown that shortened interphases interfere with transcription, the origins of the observed morphogenetic defects are yet to be fully elucidated. The earliest transcribed zygotic genes govern the process of cellularization [27]. Indeed, we observed significant reductions in the protein products of nullo and sry-a (Figures S2G–S2L), the key regulators of cellularization, which are highly transcribed during interphase 13 [28–30]. Conversely, we did not observe a difference in protein levels for Sna, whose activity is required only after the cellularization is completed (data not shown) [31]. Based on this, we

Figure 2. Decreased Transcriptional Output in Embryos with High dNTP Concentrations

(A) MS2 signal is segmented from live-imaging datasets and converted into time traces. Scale bars represent 10 µm.

(B and C) Left: calculation of the integrated output for an individual nucleus during a given interphase in a wild-type (B) and an embryo with high dNTP concentrations (C). Signal spans the length of active transcription, beginning when the MS2 signal becomes visible and ends at mitosis, when transcription is terminated (dotted lines). Right: distribution functions of the outputs for multiple nuclei in a sample wild-type (B) and an embryo with high dNTP concentrations (C).

(D) Boxplots representing median transcriptional output from wild-type embryos and embryos with high dNTP concentrations for three early expressed genes: sog>MS2 (n = 4), sna>MS2 (n = 5), and bnk>MS2 (n = 5). X represents mean and bar represents median. Statistical test, t test. N.S., not significant; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01. Insets: expression domains of respective regulatory regions.

See also Figure S2 and Video S2.
speculate that defects in morphogenesis are caused by cumulative reduction in many zygotic gene products that control the mechanical properties of the newly formed epithelial tissue [32]. For example, we found that cell sizes are highly variable in cellular blastoderms of embryos developing at high levels of dNTPs (Figures S2M and S2N).

Finally, in addition to their roles in cellularization, zygotic gene expression is involved in the downregulation of maternally supplied cell-cycle regulators such as Twe or CDC25, preventing mitosis during nuclear cycle 14 [33–35]. Based on this, one can expect that quantitative changes in zygotic transcription at high levels of dNTPs may lead to extra divisions. Indeed, we observe that high levels of dNTPs result in higher levels of Twe or CDC25 during nuclear cycle 14 (Figure S3F). Furthermore, ~10% of embryos (5/42) exhibit a precocious entry into mitosis during nuclear cycle 14 (Figures S3G and S3H; Video S3). These observations further support the model whereby quantitative control of dNTP concentrations is essential for cell-cycle slowing, robust accumulation of zygotic transcripts, and tissue morphogenesis.
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STAR METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary: Mouse anti-Twist</td>
<td>Wieschaus Lab</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary: Mouse anti-Eve</td>
<td>Wieschaus Lab</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary: Mouse anti-NullO</td>
<td>Wieschaus Lab</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary: Guinea Pig anti-Twe</td>
<td>Wieschaus Lab</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary: Rabbit Anti GammaH2AV</td>
<td>McKim Lab</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary: Sheep anti DIG</td>
<td>Roche</td>
<td>Cat# 11333089001; RRID: AB_514496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary: Alexafluor Donkey Anti Rabbit 568</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat# A10042; RRID: AB_2534017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary: Alexafluor Donkey Anti Mouse 647</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat# A-31571; RRID: AB_162542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals, Peptides and Recombinant Proteins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAPI</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# D1306; RRID: AB_2629482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua-polymount</td>
<td>Polysciences, Inc.</td>
<td>Cat# 18606-20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains

Drosophila: Oregon R | Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center | 5 |
Drosophila: MTD-Gal4: P(otu-GAL4::VP16,R)1n1, w*; P(GAL4-ras.UGT)3; P(GAL4::VP16-ras.UTR)CG6325MVD1 | Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center | 31777 |
Drosophila: w;sp;cyo; UAS-RNRL<sub>DISN</sub> | This Lab | [2] |
Drosophila: w;H2AV::mRFP;cyo; UAS-RNRL<sub>DISN</sub>/Tm6B | This Paper | N/A |
Drosophila: w;Sgh::mCherry/cyo; UAS-RNRL<sub>DISN</sub>/Tm3sb | This Paper | N/A |
Drosophila: w;<nos>MCP::GFP,H2AVmRFP;cyo; UAS-RNRL<sub>DISN</sub>/Tm6B | This Paper | N/A |
Drosophila: w;Sgh::mCherry | Wieschaus Lab | [38] |
Drosophila: w;<nos>MCP::GFP,H2AVmRFP | Levine Lab | [37] |
Drosophila: sgh-MS2-yellow | This Paper | N/A |
Drosophila: bkn-MS2-yellow | This Paper | N/A |
Drosophila: snaphy-MS2-yellow | Levine Lab | [37] |

Software and Algorithms

FIJI (ImageJ) | NIH | http://fiji.sc |
MATLAB | The MathWorks Inc. | https://www.mathworks.com |
ilastik | ilastik developers | https://www.ilastik.org |
Imaris | Bitplane | http://www.bitplane.com |

Other

RNA probe: tll | This Lab | [39] |

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for reagents may be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Stanislav Y. Shvartsman (stas@princeton.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Adult flies were matured at 25°C on fresh yeast paste for at least three and at most 14 days to collect embryos. All embryos used in this study were at developmental stages prior to gastrulation, which precluded the determination of the sex of embryos. All embryos were grown on apple juice plates at 25°C. All fly stocks were maintained by standard methods at 25°C, and were grown on a standard cornmeal, molasses, and yeast media. Fly media recipe: water (1726 mL), agar (11 g), potassium sodium tartrate (12 g), calcium...
chloride dihydrate (1 g), sucrose (43.35 g), dextrose (86.65 g), yeast (44 g), cornmeal (105 g), propionic acid (10 mL). Prepare as follows: measure water into kettle, mix in agar and bring to a boil to melt agar. Slowly add potassium sodium tartrate, calcium chloride dihydrate, sucrose, and dextrose, stirring as you add. Bring to a boil. Mix yeast and cornmeal with a little water, and add to kettle and stir. Boil 2 mins. Cool to 80°C and add propionic acid. Stir very well.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Maternal expression of RNRL^{D6BN}**

Virgin females of the MTD-Gal4 line were crossed to w;sp/cyo; UAS-RNRL^{D6BN}/TM6B males. The subsequent generation of adults were transferred into an embryo collection cage from which staged embryos could be collected for assay.

**Cuticle Preparation**

Embryos were dechorionated after being aged for more than 24 h. Dechorionated embryos were shaken in methanol and heptane (1:1) and incubated overnight in medium containing lactic acid and Hoyer’s medium (1:1) at 65°C. Embryos were imaged on a Nikon Eclipse Ni in dark field.

**Immunostaining and FISH**

Embryos from Oregon R flies and flies with maternal expression of RNRL^{D6BN} were collected and allowed to develop until the cellular blastoderm stage.

**For Immunofluorescence**

Antibodies used: Mouse anti-Twist (1:100), Mouse anti-Eve (1:100), Rabbit anti-GammaH2AV (1:500). Embryos were dechorionated in 50% bleach and fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 20 min. Embryos were then devitelinized in 1:1 Methanol and Heptane and stored in methanol. Following stepwise rehydration with PBST (0.002% triton in PBS), embryos were blocked with 5% Normal Goat Serum and 10% Bovine Serum Albumin in PBST and then incubated at 4°C overnight with primary antibodies. The following day after being washed in PBST, embryos were incubated with secondary antibodies for 1.5 h at room temperature. Embryos were then mounted in Aqua-polymount which was then allowed to cure before imaging.

**For FISH**

Embryos were dechorionated in 50% bleach and fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 20 min. Embryos were then incubated in 90% xylene for 1 h and then treated with 80% acetone for 10 min at −20°C. Next, embryos were hybridized overnight at 60°C with antiseense probes labeled with digoxigenin (DIG). Embryos with labeled probes were visualized using standard immunofluorescence technique. The following primary antibodies were used in this study: sheep anti-DIG (Roche; 1:200).

**Light-sheet microscopy**

Imaging was done in the Keller lab with a custom built SiMView light-sheet microscope. Embryos from mothers expressing Sqh::mCherry as well as those maternally expressing UAS-RNRD^{D6BN} were dechorionated in 50% bleach, washed and then prepared as in [40]. Embryos were volumetrically imaged with the 594nm laser at 30 s intervals, using the AutoPilot framework for sample-adaptive imaging [41].

**Scanning Electron Microscopy**

Embryos were collected and allowed to develop until the cellular blastoderm stage and prepared according to [42]. Embryos were then dechorionated in 50% bleach and fixed in 25% glutaraldehyde in PBS and Heptane in a scintillation vile for 25 min at room temperature. Embryos were then washed and manually devitelinized in PBS. Embryos were then dehydrated by stepping into ethanol (25%, 50%, 75%, 95%, and 100%) followed by incubation in 1:1 ethanol Hexamethyldisilazane (HMS) for 10 min and finally 10 min in 100% HMS. Embryos were then allowed to dry before being coated with gold-palladium with a Denton Vacuum DeskII Sputterer at 45mAmps for 65 s and imaged with a Hitachi TM-1000 desktop Scanning Electron Microscope.

**Live imaging of nuclear divisions**

Embryos were collected from either wild-type or flies maternally expressing RNRL^{D6BN} that also expressed H2AV::mRFP in order to visualize nuclei. Embryos were dechorionated with 50% bleach, washed and mounted on Biofoil membrane in halocarbon 27 oil. Embryos were then imaged on the Nikon Ti-E microscope with the Yokogawa spinning disc (CSU-21) module using the 561 laser to visualize nuclei at 10 s intervals.

**Nucleus segmentation and feature extraction**

To extract all individual nuclei at each time point we first used the ‘pixel classification’ workflow of the publicly available image processing software lastik [43]. After segmentation of nuclei, we exported a variety of geometric and texture features generated for each nucleus as a part of the ‘object classification’ workflow. These features included the position, diameter, length of the skeleton, number of branches, average branch length, size in pixels, variance of intensity, convex hull area, mean defect...
displacement, object area, and convexity. For every embryo an array of these features was exported as a .csv to then be inputted into MATLAB for tracking and phase identification.

**Nucleus tracking**

To allow reconstruction of the temporal dynamics of each nucleus in the time-lapse, we designed a ‘nearest-neighbor’ based tracking algorithm using the position extracted via ilastik. Our algorithm was able to identify the exact time point of division of each nucleus, as well as the identity of the two daughter nuclei, thereby recovering the nucleus lineage tree of the embryo. Division identification was done as follows: after pairing each nucleus from time point \( t \) to its nearest neighbor from time point \( t+1 \), daughter nuclei, and hence an event of division, were identified as unpaired nuclei from time point \( t+1 \). Each, such daughter nucleus was paired to its nearest unpaired neighbor. Lastly, based on the identified division times we were able to label the nuclear cycle of each nucleus.

**Division phase labeling via Dynamic Time Warping**

Dynamic time warping (DTW) is a well-known dissimilarity measure between a pair of sequences which, by stretching and compressing them locally, makes one resemble the other as much as possible [44]. Therefore, with proper quantitative temporal phenotypic description of each nucleus, DTW allows accurate matching of division phases between pairs of nuclei. To this end, we generated a quantitative phenotypic description for each nucleus in the form of a multidimensional feature vector \( F^v \). In the same sequence, wherein rows and columns correspond to different features extracted via ilastik and successive time points, respectively. To achieve phase labeling we first calculated the DTW dissimilarity score between all pairs of tracked nuclei of the same nuclear cycle. Then, we identified the nucleus with the minimal median dissimilarity score to all other nuclei from that nuclear cycle, to be referred as the “template,” and manually labeled each of its time point with a division phase (i.e., Anaphase/Interphase/Prophase/Metaphase). Lastly, we propagated the phase labels from the template to every other nucleus from that nuclear cycle based on the matching calculated by the DTW.

**MS2 Data Analysis**

All MS2 data analysis after image processing was performed in MATLAB. Files containing dot intensity, frame number, and track ID were exported from Imaris as a .csv file and imported into MATLAB. All intensities and frame numbers were first sorted by track ID (each unique track ID corresponds to a single MS2 dot for all frames that it was detected), and the first frame for each track ID was normalized to zero, to account for the metasynchrony of mitotic divisions in nuclear cycles 11-13. Integrated intensity was calculated as the sum of all intensities in a single track, maximum intensity was defined as the maximum intensity that was reached for a single track, time to maximum intensity was defined as the number of frames between the start of detection to the detected intensity maximum (the first maximum, if this number was reached multiple times during the course of a track), and the track length was defined as the total number of frames that a dot was detected. All tracks for a single nuclear cycle were pooled and the median was taken for every reported value. These median values for multiple biological replicates were plotted in Microsoft Excel and further analyzed for statistical significance.

**MS2 Constructs and transgenics**

Starting with the pBphi-evePromoter-24X MS2-yellow vector [14], a DNA fragment containing 206 bp upstream and 48 bp downstream of the bnk start site was placed before the 24X MS2 repeat sequence via a convenient BamHI site. Within the bnk proximal promoter region are four Zelda binding sites, which likely drive the ubiquitous expression of bnk [12, 13]. Transgenic lines carrying the bnk-MS2 construct were generated by phiC31 integration in the 53B2 landing site (VK00018), Bloomingston stock number 9736 [45, 46] by Best Gene, Inc.

**Sequence of the bnk promoter region (TATATAA box and transcription start site in italics)**

```
CTCCGGAGAAATCTCTTTTTTTACCTTTAGTTAGTTCTAGTTTCCCCGGAAATTTAGTTAGCTGGGGATC
CTTACGGATTAGTCTAGTTTACCGCATCGTAGTAGTTTTTTAAGCTGTGCTGGTCTGCTTTGAGAAATCTTCCTTTTTACTTTCATAGCTTAGGTAGTGATTCTCAGGTTTTCCCGGGAATTAAGTTAGGCTGGGTATCGC
```

**Sequence of the MS2 loops**

```
tacggtacttattccaagaaacagcagcatcagctcgggtcctcgcagttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttt...
Sequence of the yellow reporter gene (intron in italics)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Alias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tgccaatgggtccaccgttatatacgaaacaatatcgtcctgtcttgccacagaaacctcagaccagctgggcttcctcgccgcctcctccaagtcgcacttatttgcccgccaat</td>
<td>MCP::GFP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Virgin flies from w;nos>gal-4/+; nos>gal-4/cyo; nos>gal-4/UAS-RNRLD68N were crossed with males with various genotypes.

Live imaging of MS2 reporter lines

MS2 signal segmentation and extraction

MS2 signal was segmented as spots in Imaris, using the following parameters: Expected particle size: 1 μm, Calculate point spread function in Z: 2 μm. Identified spots were filtered based on StdDev of Intensity in the MS2 channel. Tracking was achieved with default settings. Tracks were then filtered by minimum length to eliminated errantly classified tracks as well as MS2 signals that were not classified as such.

Detailed Genotypes

**Figure 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A: Oregon R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Analysis of Transcriptional Output
Time traces were analyzed in MATLAB. Average integrated outputs were compared in Microsoft Excel. t-test was used to compare outputs between WT and High [dNTP] embryos. Significance and n values (embryos) can be found in the legend for Figure 2. Comparison of time normalized transcriptional output can be found in the legend for Figure S2.

Analysis of Protein Levels
Normalized intensities were measured in Fiji and entered into Excel worksheets for later processing. Bootstrapping was accomplished using the bootstrap function in MATLAB. Bootstrapped means and ratios were computed in MATLAB. n values (embryos) and significance values can be found in the main text and the legend for Figure S2.

Analysis of Cell-Cycle Times
Cell-cycle phases and times were classified and quantified using a custom script in MATLAB. Histograms of nuclear cycle length were generated in MATLAB. Values were imported into an Excel worksheet and compared using the t-Test. Significance values and n values (embryos) can be found in the main text and the legend for Figure 3. Significance (confidence intervals) for comparison of Prophase, Metaphase and Anaphase times can be found in the legend for Figure S3.

Analysis of Twe Protein Levels
Average fluorescence intensities were measured in Fiji and values entered into an Excel worksheet for further processing in MATLAB. 95% confidence intervals were calculated based on Standard Error and exponential fits were plotted using the Polyfit function in MATLAB. n values (embryos) can be found in the legend for Figure S3.